

Reducing Toxics in the Food & Beverage Sector

TURI Continuing Education Conference Marlborough, MA April 25, 2018



TUR Opportunities

- Cleaning and Sanitizing
- Surfaces and clean-in-place
- Investigate soils, surfaces, processes, and chemicals
 - Look for opportunities to eliminate or reduce hazard
 - Don't forget about water and wastewater treatment

Field Work: Cleaning and Sanitizing in Microbreweries



Cleaning and Sanitizing – Past and Present

		Product & Ingredients	Characteristics
Baseline	Detergent	PBW: 30% Sodium Metasilicate	pH 11-12
	Sanitizer	Saniclean: 29% phosphoric acid and 10% sulfonated oleic acid	pH 1
Phase I: Cleaning and	Detergent	Catholyte: weak sodium	400 ppm NaOH
Sanitization using ECA		hydroxide	pH >11.4
– large capacity	Sanitizer	Anolyte: hypocholorous acid and sodium hypochlorite	190 ppm free available Chlorine pH 6.8
Phase II: Cleaning and Sanitization using ECA	Detergent	Potassium carbonate mixture at <0.3%	pH 10-11
 janitorial capacity 	Sanitizer	Acetic acid <2.5%	pH 2.75
Phase III: Sanitization	Detergent	PBW: 30% Sodium Metasilicate	pH 11-12
with NaDCC tablets	Sanitizer	NaDCC tablets generating hypochlorous acid	100-200 ppm free available chlorine

Testing Process – Multi Stage









Results – Using NaDCC Tablets (BruTabs)

(sodium dichloroisocyanurate)





		Results		
Sample Taken	Date/Time	ATP*	Chlorine Meter**	Lab***
After cleaning and rinsing	5/17/17, 11:30 AM	2	No alarm	None detected
After sanitizing	5/17/17, 11:40 AM	0	Alarm	None detected
After flush rinse	5/17/17, 11:52 AM	0	No alarm	None detected

^{*}ATP reading of <10 is acceptable, <5 is preferred, and 0 is ideal

^{**} Chlorine meter threshold is set to alarm at concentrations at or above 0.5 ppm

^{***}A bacterial count result of 'none detected' is desirable



Field Work: Chemical Use Reduction in Testing Lab



Frying Oil Testing – Old vs New

Titration Chemicals				
Chemical	Annual Amount Used			
Phenolphthalein	576 liters			
Sodium hydroxide	48 liters			
Total	624 liters			

FoodLab Startup Costs				
ltem	Cost			
FoodLab Tester	\$4,041			
New reagents	\$800			
Taxes & freight	\$200			
Total	\$5,041			

Annual Operating Costs						
ltem	Titration Method	FoodLab System				
Replenishing agents		\$13,200				
Chemical purchase, handling, disposal	\$14,736					
Annual Savings	\$1,536					

Results Due to Equipment Change Out

By changing methodologies from titration to photometric methods for free fatty acids, the quality control lab at Cape Cod Potato Chips realized the following reductions:

- Amount of hazardous chemicals purchased, stored, and used in the lab
- Time employees are exposed to these chemicals
- Testing time overall
- Cost associated with disposing of hazardous waste



TURI FY19 Grants

Are you a food or beverage processor interested in going green?

Apply for a TURI Grant to kick-start your company's transition to going green. You could protect the health and safety of workers while saving money.

We can help you review chemical use at your facility and explore opportunities to reduce or eliminate toxics use through process or material changes.

Which Grant is Right for You?

Apply for a TURI Small Business Grant of up to \$10,000 if you:

- Have fewer than 10 employees
- Do NOT report toxic chemical use under the Toxics Use Reduction Act

Apply for a **TURI Industry Grant of up to \$30,000** if:

You use TURA reportable chemicals.





Business & Industry Program Manager: Joy Onasch Phone: 978-934-4343

Phone: 978-934-4343 Email: joy@turi.org Web: www.turi.org

What we have learned

Opportunities exist to:

- 1) Reduce the use of:
 - Toxics
 - Energy
 - Water



- 2) Reduce waste generation (organic, solid)
- 3) Improve value of sustainable practices to businesses

Future



