Advising TURA: Personal Reflections

Dick Clapp
TURA 20th Anniversary Symposium
Nov. 4, 2009

Early years of the TURA Board

- Appointed to serve from 1990-1994
 - Supported the TURA Act while at DPH
 - Opportunity for cancer prevention in Mass.
- Advised Administrative Council on policy development, reviewed program operation
- Enthusiasm somewhat tempered by political climate under Gov. Weld

TURI Science Advisory Board

- Served as member and Chair during 1994 -2003
- Considered delisting petitions, criteria used for listing, potential "high hazard" list, etc.
 - Received program updates and data reporting summaries (asthmagens, carcinogens, etc.)
 - Reviewed other States and Federal guidance
- Major discussions around some delisting applications

Two illustrative disputes

- Delisting of sodium hypochlorite requested on behalf of Clorox®
 - Written petition claimed "safe lifecycle"
 - Scientist flown from Midwest to Boston hearing
- Delisting of benzyl butyl phthalate
 - Vice-Chair (George Gray) claimed not hazardous
 - rejected eScreen evidence

Science and Policy

- Inherited toxic chemical "lists" from earlier sources (CERCLA, EPCRA, etc.)
- Implicitly used "reactionary principle" to evaluate evidence (D Kriebel, OEM 64:573-574, Sept. 2007)
 - Incontrovertible proof of harm before action
 - Burden on public or government
 - Not considering health and environmental impacts
 - Discouraging public participation

Alternative approach

- Take preventive action in face of uncertainty
- Shift burden of proof to proponents
- Explore wide range of alternatives
- Increase public participation in decisionmaking

Science for sustainable solutions

- Move away from "is there strong evidence of harm" to "do we need this in the first place?"
- Move away from documenting the problem toward envisioning the solutions
- Broaden, rather than narrow, the scope of concern and inquiry

Solutions, continued

- Develop principles of "plausibly safer" materials or practices
 - Seek biocompatible, biodegradable or renewable solutions
 - Make sure alternatives show less evidence of harm
 - Continue surveillance and remain flexible to future improvements