

Table 5.4.1 I: Massachusetts Site Visit Pre-Spotting and Post Handling Qualitative Comparison

| Process | Technical Information Review | Massachusetts Facilities |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PCE | | |
| HC | “Hand” or the feel of the garments cleaned with HC is better than the hand of garments cleaned with PCE. | More spotting effort, grease spots - retrain workers, same spotting agents |
| VMS | More spotting is likely to be required, very good hand better than HC | Spotting difficult; Spotters less aggressive, not as good, more effort, different spotting agents |
| SGE | Finishing of the garments which may contain high concentrations of water could be more difficult | 20% more spotting time, use less spotting agents, less post spotting |
| Wet Cleaning | Prevent dimensional change; wet garments are hung and later finished using tensioning equipment | 50% more time for spotting |
| Icy Water | Minimize or eliminate garment shrinkage; tensioning equipment but does not need to use it for finishing the garment | |
| Green Jet | Spotting is more difficult, finishing is much easier than for other technologies | |
| Carbon Dioxide | More spotting is required; post spotting instead; similar to finishing requirements with PCE | |