Sample Toxics Use Reduction Plan

(Dry Cleaner Name)

Date

Sample Toxics Use Reduction Plan for Dry Cleaners Table of Contents

Facility-Wide Information	1
Statement of Management Policy	
Employee Notification	
Scope of Plan	
Predicted Reductions	
Production Unit Information	6
Identification of Potential TUR Techniques	8
Technical Evaluation: TUR Options Matrix	
Financial Evaluation	11
Supply information only for those financial aspects relevant for comparison	11
Summary & Schedule of Implementation	
Plan Certification	13
TUR Planner Certification	
Senior Management Official Certification	13
Attachment A – Plan Summary	14
Attachment B – Facility Form S	
Attachment C – Perc Purchase and Machine O&M Records	16
Attachment D – Completed Toxics Use Reduction Opportunities Matrix	17

Note – this sample TUR plan is intended for use by Massachusetts dry cleaners required to conduct planning activities geared toward reducing their use of the toxic chemical perchloroethylene. All required elements of a TUR plan are covered in this Sample Plan. Suggestions for activities and considerations associated with a good faith exploration of TUR opportunities are provided, but are not intended to be exhaustive. Cleaners are encouraged to be creative in their TUR planning activities.

Facility-Wide Information

Statement of Management Policy

Sample Management Policy
(Dry Cleaner Facility Name)
(Dry Cleaner Facility Name) is committed to reducing the use of toxic chemicals in our processes. In support of this commitment, our facility plans to:
• Conduct continual research and improvement of dry cleaning operations with an eye towards toxic chemical use reduction, worker safety, and energy efficiency.
• Involve both employees and facility management in toxics use reduction (TUR) research and modifications to the extent practical.
 Implement, monitor, and maintain technically feasible and cost effective TUR options.
Through this commitment,
(Signature of facility Owner/Management)

Employee Notification

Check all that	apply:
Yes No	We gave notice to employees of the pending TURA plan by January 1, 2012 (The plan is due July 1, 2012.)
The method(s) included:
Yes No	Posted a notice on the facility bulletin board.
Yes No	Included notice in employee pay stubs.
Yes No	Discussed the planning process at staff meeting(s).
Yes No	Offered incentives for good ideas.
Yes No	Other:
Yes No	Othor

Scope of Plan

	(Dry Cleaner Facility Name)
	(Address Location)
This location ofgarments using the following technologies in the	(Dry Cleaner Facility Name) cleans percentages noted here:
Perc (charge identify)	% of Garments Cleaned Using this Method
Other (please identify)	
PRODUCTION UNIT #1: Production Unit(s) Description:	
Production Unit Processes: The processes as cleaning, shirt laundering and garment pretreatm	ssociated with Production Unit #1 include dry ent.
Toxics chemical used: Perchloroethylene, or per to clean garments in dry cleaning equipment. If above for particularly difficult garment stains.	rc, CAS # 127-18-4, which is used at the facility Perc is also used in spotting agents as identified
Unit of Product used for this Production Unit	:
Perc use, Production Unit #1:	
Equipment or Materials	Amount or % of perc

The appl	processes we used for identifying TUR options for this Production Unit include <i>(check all that y)</i> :
	Brainstorming with workers
	Conformance with ERP Best Practices described in the "Dry Cleaners Environmental Certification Workbook" to assure operations and maintenance practices are met
	Discussion with peers and attendance at pertinent process demonstrations at their garment cleaning facilities
	Direct contact with our vendors and manufacturers of equipment and alternative materials
	Review of trade literature and research, including TURI's Assessment of Alternatives to Perchloroethylene for the Professional Garment Care Industry, 2011
	Periodic outreach to the Massachusetts Office of Technical Assistance (OTA) to identify specific new opportunities not previously considered.
	Other
	Other
The mon	checklist included in Attachment D – TUR Opportunity Matrix is used to assist us in itoring our TUR options identification process. ed on our TUR options identification activities during this planning cycle, cleaner name) has identified the following TUR Options for implementation:
	Purchased new equipment using solvent.
	Changed pre-spotting and other treatment products to products that do not contain perc.
	Modified percentages of garments cleaned in various machines
	Implemented additional operational and maintenance control on existing equipment (see Attachment D)
	Other
	Other

REPEAT THIS SECTION FOR ADDITIONAL PRODUCTION UNITS

Predicted Reductions In 2011, ______ (pounds of perc) were used at this facility. All perc was then generated as byproduct – in hazardous waste, fugitive air emissions, and residual amounts on the

At this point, our five-year projection for 2016 is that the use of perc will be reduced by ________%.

Production Unit Information Production Unit #1: Perc Dry Cleaning. The following process flow diagram illustrates the movement of perc throughout this production unit.	

<u>Purpose of Chemical:</u> Perc is used at the facility to clean garments in dry cleaning equipment. Perc is also used in spotting agents as identified previously for particularly difficult garment stains.

Unit of Product:	

Use of Perc and Generation of By-Product and Emissions:

For the year 2011, the following is the amount **per unit of product** of perc used at the facility and its fate.

Calculation Worksheet for Per Unit of Product Values

Unit of product (lb cleaned in 2011)	Perc used per unit of product	Perc generated as byproduct per unit of product	Perc released or transferred off-site per unit of product

Summary of Byproduct and Emissions Reporting (for reference)

Row ID	Byproducts and Emissions	Calculation Elements	Form R and/or Form S Report Location	Value for Reporting Year
A	Total Perc usage for the year	Beginning inventory + Purchased amount – End inventory	Form S, Sec. 1e	
В	Treated on site	We do not treat any perc waste on site	Form S, Sec. 8.6	0
С	Treated off-site	= amount in waste sludge + amount in spent filters + amount spilled + separator wastewater (typically this wastestream is incinerated)	Form R, Sec. 6.2 Form S, Sec. 8.1c	
D		= amount in separator wastewater sent to municipal POTW (i.e., not included in hazardous waste amount)	Form R, Sec 6.1A	0
E	Total Treated Off- Site	=C+D	Form S, Sec. 8.7	
F	Recycled On-Site	(other than integral recycling within our machines, we do not recycle perc waste on site)	Form S, Sec. 8.4	0

Row ID	Byproducts and Emissions	Calculation Elements	Form R and/or Form S Report Location	Value for Reporting Year
G	Recycled Off-Site	Unless your hazardous waste treatment facility recycles perc waste, assume this is zero	Form S, Sec. 8.5	0
Н	Disposed On-Site	No on site disposal occurs	Form R, Sec. 5.4 and Sec. 5.5	0
I	Disposed Off-Site	No off site disposal occurs	Form S, Sec. 8.1c	0
J	Total Byproduct Released	= E + K If your facility has any values for rows B, F, G, H or I, then these must also be included in this value	Form S, Sec. 8.7	
K	Emissions released to the environment on- site (= fugitive air emissions)	= A - E	Form R, Sec. 5.1	
L	Air emissions (stack or point source)	We do not have point source emissions	Form R, Sec. 5.2	0
M	Total on-site releases	= K	Form R, Sec. 5.1	
N	Total Emissions	= total used (A)	Form S, Sec 1f	

Identification of Potential TUR Techniques

The following people comprise the facility TUR Team, which conducted the planning activities associated with identifying feasible alternatives to perc:

•	
•	
•	
•	
•	
•	
•	

						_
						_
·						_
•						
						-
						-
		cedures, and p	programs were	identified as	s potentially ac	chievii
t at the facility						
						_
						-
						_
•						
						-
						-
						_
						-
following alt	ernative solve	ents/cleaning s	systems were	considered f	or implementa	ition a
ity:			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		F	
						_
•						_
						-
•						
						_

Technical Evaluation: TUR Options Matrix

TUR Technique	Description	Resources Used	Feasible? (yes/no)	Explanation	Estimate of Perc Use Reduction	Estimated Costs/ Savings
Improved Operations and Maintenance						
In-Process Recycling and Reuse						
Process Modification or Redesign						
Process modernization						
Input Substitution (Perc alternatives)						
Product Reformulation			No	Not Applicable		
	Total (to g	go in the "Pre	dicted Redu	ictions Section")	%	\$

Financial Evaluation

Supply information only for those financial aspects relevant for comparison.

Cost Item	Perc	Alternative #1	Alternative #2	Alternative #3
Material Purchase (solvent, detergent, spotting agents, etc.)				
New Equipment Purchase				
Filters				
Disposal				
Electricity Use				
Natural Gas Use				
Oil Use				
Water Use				
Machine Maintenance				
Labor				
Regulatory Costs (time and fees)				
Health & Safety Issues				
Insurance Issues				
Other, if relevant for comparison				

Summary & Schedule of Implementation

TUR measures for this facility:	(Dry Cleaner Facility Name) has chosen the following
TUR Option	Schedule of Implementation
TUR Options Requiring Further Evaluatechnologies and/or processes and/or mo	
	te: The following toxics use reduction options do not work

Plan Certification

TUR Planner Certification

Based on my independent professional judgment as a toxics use reduction planner, I certify under penalty of law that the following is true:

(a) I have examined and am familiar with this toxics use reduction plan; (b) the plan satisfies the requirements of 310 CMR 50.40; and (c) the plan demonstrates a good faith and reasonable effort to identify

Toxics Use Reduction Planner: _______

Signature: ______ Date: ______

Senior Management Official Certification

I certify under penalty of law that the following is true:

and evaluate toxics use reduction options.

- (a) I have personally examined and am familiar with this toxics use reduction plan;
- (b) I am satisfied that any supporting documentation used in the development of the plan exists and is consistent with the plan;
- (c) Based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for the development of this plan, I believe that the information in the plan and any supporting documentation used in the development of the plan is true, accurate, and complete;
- (d) The plan, to the best of my knowledge and belief, meets the requirements of 310 CMR 50.40;
- (e) I am aware that there are penalties for submitting false information, including possible fines and imprisonment.

Senior Management Official: _		
Signature:	Date:	

Attachment A – Plan Summary

Chemical N		Perchloroethyle CAS #: 127-18-			
		hanges (Total			
Bypr	oduct:				
Five-year P Use:		hanges (Total			
Bypr					
Options Co	nsidered:				
• Inpu	t Substitut	ion:		 	
• <i>Impr</i>	roved Opera	ution & Mainte	nance:		
• Proc	ess Modific	cation:			
• Othe	er:				
Options Sel					
•					
•					
•					
Additional	informatio	n:			

Attachment B – Facility Form S

Attachment C – Perc Purchase and Machine O&M Records

Attachment D – Completed Toxics Use Reduction Opportunities Matrix